

Electroweak Baryogenesis in a Left-Right Supersymmetric Model

TUOMAS MULTAMÄKI¹

and

IIRO VILJA²

Department of Physics, University of Turku

FIN-20014 Turku, Finland

October 9, 1998

Abstract

The possibility of electroweak baryogenesis is considered within the framework of a left-right supersymmetric model. It is shown that for a range of parameters the large sneutrino VEV required for parity breaking varies at the electroweak phase transition leading to a production of baryons. The resulting baryon to entropy ratio is approximated to be $\frac{n_B}{s} \sim \alpha 0.7 \times 10^{-8}$, where α is the angle that the phase of sneutrino VEV changes at the electroweak phase transition.

¹tuomul@newton.tfy.utu.fi, ²vilja@newton.tfy.utu.fi

The Standard Model (SM) fulfills all the requirements, including the Sakharov conditions [1], for electroweak baryogenesis [2] that may be responsible for the observed baryon asymmetry of the universe. However, in the SM the phase transition has been shown to be too weakly first order to preserve the generated asymmetry [3]. Furthermore, the phase of the Kobayashi-Maskawa γ -matrix leads to an insufficient CP-violation [4] which further indicates that physics beyond the SM is needed to account for the baryon asymmetry.

One of the most popular extensions of the SM is the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) that, with an appropriate choice of parameters, leads to a large enough baryon asymmetry, $\frac{n_B}{s} \sim 10^{-10}$ [5, 6]. Some of the parameter bounds are, however, quite stringent [7] (although Riotto has recently argued [8] that an additional enhancement factor of about 10^2 is present).

In the MSSM the conservation of R-parity, $R = (-1)^{3(B-L)+2S}$, is assumed and put in by hand. This is quite *ad hoc* since it is not required for the internal consistency of the model but for the conservation of baryon and lepton numbers. To avoid *e.g.* proton decay, the R-parity breaking terms, allowed by gauge invariance, are therefore usually omitted in the MSSM or their couplings are assumed to be very small.

The supersymmetric left-right model (SUSYLR) based on the gauge group $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$ explicitly conserves R-parity [9]. Considering the MSSM to be a low energy approximation of the SUSYLR it can also account for the baryon asymmetry of the universe. Furthermore, the see-saw mechanism [10] that can be accommodated in the left-right models, can be used to generate light masses for left-handed neutrinos and large masses for right-handed ones. The possibility of a small (left-handed) neutrino mass is therefore often seen as another motivation for the SUSYLR model.

By viewing the MSSM as a low energy approximation of the SUSYLR it becomes warranted to question whether some properties of the SUSYLR affect the prospect of electroweak baryogenesis. This question is studied in the context of the present paper and it is argued that electroweak baryogenesis may be significantly larger in the SUSYLR than in the MSSM.

The gauge-invariant superpotential for the SUSYLR model with two bidoublets [13] at zero temperature is given by (omitting all generation and $SU(2)$ indices and the Q-terms):

$$\begin{aligned}
W = & h_\phi L^T \tau_2 \Phi \tau_2 L^c + h_\chi L^T \tau_2 \chi \tau_2 L^c \\
& + if(L^T \tau_2 \Delta L + L^{cT} \tau_2 \Delta^c L^c) \\
& + M \text{tr}(\Delta \overline{\Delta} + \Delta^c \overline{\Delta^c}) + \mu_1 \text{tr}(\tau_2 \Phi^T \tau_2 \chi) \\
& + \mu'_1 \text{tr}(\tau_2 \Phi^T \tau_2 \Phi) + \mu''_1 \text{tr}(\tau_2 \chi^T \tau_2 \chi),
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $L(2, 1, -1)$, $L^c(1, 2, 1)$ are the matter superfields and $\Delta(3, 1, 2)$, $\Delta^c(1, 3, -2)$, $\overline{\Delta}(3, 1, -2)$, $\overline{\Delta^c}(1, 3, 2)$, $\Phi(2, 2, 0)$, $\chi(2, 2, 0)$ are the Higgs superfields with their respec-

tive $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$ quantum numbers. It is worth noting that since one of the bidoublets will be responsible for the electron mass the mechanism considered here requires at least two bidoublet fields to result in a significant production of baryons.

The scalar components of the superfields have the following component representations:

$$\tilde{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\nu} \\ \tilde{e}^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{L}^c = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\nu}^c \\ \tilde{e}^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta^+}{\sqrt{2}} & \delta^{++} \\ \delta^0 & -\frac{\delta^+}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^0 & \phi_2^+ \\ \phi_1^- & \phi_2^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1^0 & \chi_2^+ \\ \chi_1^- & \chi_2^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

and similarly for the rest of the fields.

By standard methods [12] it can be shown that the Lagrangean contains the following terms (omitting generation indices):

$$h_\chi \overline{\tilde{H}^c} \tilde{\nu}_R^* L e, \quad (3)$$

where $H = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_2^+ \\ \chi_1^- \end{pmatrix}$ and L is the left-handed chirality projection operator. Of course similar term proportional to h_ϕ is present in the Lagrangean but since h_ϕ is proportional to the electron mass it is neglected here [16]. The Yukawa coupling h_χ , however, is proportional to the neutrino Dirac mass and is hence only bounded by an experimental upper limit [16].

Comparing with ref. [15] we note that this is exactly of the form that creates a CP-violating source in the diffusion equation at the electroweak (EW) phase transition assuming that $\langle \tilde{\nu} \rangle$ does not vanish and is not a constant. It has been shown that in a wide class of SUSYLR models R-parity is necessarily spontaneously broken by a large sneutrino VEV [14]. Thus for electroweak baryogenesis we need to show that $\langle \tilde{\nu} \rangle$ varies at the EW phase transition. Following ref. [15] the CP-violating source can now be computed by using methods described in [11].

In electroweak baryogenesis the created baryon to entropy ratio is

$$\frac{n_B}{s} = -g(k_i) \frac{\mathcal{A} \overline{D} \Gamma_{ws}}{v_w^2 s}, \quad (4)$$

where $g(k_i)$ is a numerical coefficient depending on the degrees of freedom, $\overline{D}$ the effective diffusion rate, $\Gamma_{ws} = 6\alpha_w^4 T (\kappa = 1)$ the weak sphaleron rate and v_w the speed of the bubble wall. In [15] it was shown that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} \sim I_1 \equiv & 2h_{ij}h_{kj} \int_0^\infty du \left[\sin(\theta_i - \theta_k) [A_i A'_k - A_k A'_i] \right. \\ & \left. - \cos(\theta_i - \theta_k) A_i A_k (\theta_i + \theta_k)' \right] e^{-\lambda_+ u} \mathcal{I}_H^{e_j}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\lambda_+ = (v_w + \sqrt{v_w^2 + 4\tilde{\Gamma}\overline{D}})/(2\overline{D})$ and $\langle \tilde{\nu} \rangle \equiv A e^{i\theta}$. Clearly $\frac{n_B}{s}$ vanishes unless A and θ change when going over the bubble wall.

To show that electroweak baryogenesis is indeed possible in this model we must first consider the associated Higgs potential. The Higgs potential including soft breaking terms (omitting the Q - terms again) is [13]:

$$V = V_{F\text{-terms}} + V_{\text{soft}} + V_{D\text{-terms}} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_{F\text{-terms}} = & \quad | \tilde{L}^T \tau_2 (h_\phi \Phi + h_\chi \chi) \tau_2 + 2if \tilde{L}^{cT} \tau_2 \Delta^c |^2 \\ & + | \tilde{L}^{cT} \tau_2 (h_\phi \Phi + h_\chi \chi)^T \tau_2 + 2if \tilde{L}^T \tau_2 \Delta |^2 + \text{tr} | h_\phi \tilde{L}^c \tilde{L}^T + 2\mu'_1 \Phi^T + \mu_1 \chi^T |^2 \\ & + \text{tr} | h_\chi \tilde{L}^c \tilde{L}^T + 2\mu''_1 \chi^T + \mu_1 \Phi^T |^2 + \text{tr} | if \tilde{L} \tilde{L}^T \tau_2 + M \overline{\Delta} |^2 \\ & + \text{tr} | if \tilde{L}^c \tilde{L}^{cT} \tau_2 + M \overline{\Delta}^c |^2 + | M |^2 \text{tr} \left(\Delta^\dagger \Delta + \Delta^{c\dagger} \Delta^c \right), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{soft}} = & \quad m_l^2 \left(\tilde{L}^\dagger \tilde{L} + \tilde{L}^{c\dagger} \tilde{L}^c \right) + \left(M_1^2 - | M |^2 \right) \text{tr} \left(\Delta^\dagger \Delta + \Delta^{c\dagger} \Delta^c \right) \\ & + \left(M_2^2 - | M |^2 \right) \text{tr} \left(\overline{\Delta}^\dagger \overline{\Delta} + \overline{\Delta}^{c\dagger} \overline{\Delta}^c \right) + \left(M'^2 \text{tr} \left(\Delta \overline{\Delta} + \Delta^c \overline{\Delta}^c \right) + h.c. \right) \\ & + \left(M_\phi^2 - 4 | \mu'_1 |^2 \right) \text{tr} \Phi^\dagger \Phi + \left(M_\chi^2 - 4 | \mu''_1 |^2 \right) \text{tr} \chi^\dagger \chi \\ & + \left[\left(M_{\phi\chi}^2 - 4 | \mu_1 |^2 \right) \text{tr} \Phi^\dagger \chi + h.c. \right] \\ & + \left(\frac{\mu_2^2}{2} \text{tr} \left(\tau_2 \Phi^T \tau_2 \chi \right) + \frac{\mu_2'^2}{2} \text{tr} \left(\tau_2 \Phi^T \tau_2 \Phi \right) + \frac{\mu_2''^2}{2} \text{tr} \left(\tau_2 \chi^T \tau_2 \chi \right) + h.c. \right) \\ & + \left(iv \left(\tilde{L}^T \tau_2 \Delta \tilde{L} + \tilde{L}^{cT} \tau_2 \Delta^c \tilde{L}^c \right) + \tilde{L}^T \tau_2 (e_1 \Phi + e_2 \chi) \tau_2 \tilde{L}^c + h.c. \right), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_{D\text{-terms}} = & \quad \frac{g_L^2}{8} \sum_m | \tilde{L}^\dagger \tau_m \tilde{L} + \text{tr} \left(2\Delta^\dagger \tau_m \Delta + 2\overline{\Delta}^\dagger \tau_m \overline{\Delta} + \Phi^\dagger \tau_m \Phi + \chi^\dagger \tau_m \chi \right) |^2 \\ & + \frac{g_R^2}{8} \sum_m | \tilde{L}^{c\dagger} \tau_m \tilde{L}^c + \text{tr} \left(2\Delta^{c\dagger} \tau_m \Delta^c + 2\overline{\Delta}^{c\dagger} \tau_m \overline{\Delta}^c + \Phi^T \tau_m^T \Phi^\dagger + \chi^T \tau_m^T \chi^\dagger \right) |^2 \\ & + \frac{g'^2}{8} | \tilde{L}^{c\dagger} \tilde{L}^c - \tilde{L}^\dagger \tilde{L} + 2\text{tr} \left(\Delta^\dagger \Delta - \Delta^{c\dagger} \Delta^c - \overline{\Delta}^\dagger \overline{\Delta} + \overline{\Delta}^{c\dagger} \overline{\Delta}^c \right) |^2. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In [14] it was shown that the spontaneous breakdown of parity cannot occur without spontaneous R-parity breaking in a model with one bidoublet. We shall now examine the Higgs potential using assumptions similar to those in [14]. We assume that g^2 and g'^2 are much smaller than h^2 and f^2 and to ensure the required hierarchy of parity and R-parity breaking scales, the couplings involving Φ or χ are assumed to be much smaller than those not involving them. With these approximations the potential simplifies to the same form as in [14]:

$$\begin{aligned} V = & \quad m_l^2 \left(\tilde{L}^\dagger \tilde{L} + \tilde{L}^{c\dagger} \tilde{L}^c \right) + M_1^2 \text{Tr} \left(\Delta^\dagger \Delta + \Delta^{c\dagger} \Delta^c \right) + M_2^2 \text{Tr} \left(\overline{\Delta}^\dagger \overline{\Delta} + \overline{\Delta}^{c\dagger} \overline{\Delta}^c \right) \\ & + | h |^2 \tilde{L}^{c\dagger} \tilde{L}^c \tilde{L}^\dagger \tilde{L} + | f |^2 \left(\left(\tilde{L}^\dagger \tilde{L} \right)^2 + \left(\tilde{L}^{c\dagger} \tilde{L}^c \right)^2 \right) \\ & + 4 | f |^2 \left(| \tilde{L}^{cT} \tau_2 \Delta^c |^2 + | \tilde{L}^T \tau_2 \Delta |^2 \right) + M'^2 \text{Tr} \left(\Delta \overline{\Delta} + \Delta^c \overline{\Delta}^c + h.c. \right) \\ & + \left(\tilde{L}^T \tau_2 \left(iv \Delta + iM^* f \overline{\Delta}^\dagger \right) \tilde{L} + \tilde{L}^{cT} \tau_2 \left(iv \Delta^c + iM^* f \overline{\Delta}^{c\dagger} \right) \tilde{L}^c + h.c. \right). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We will now select the VEVs of the slepton fields to be of the form

$$\langle \tilde{L}^c \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} l' \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \tilde{L} \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} l \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

and using $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ -invariance these can be chosen real. In [14] it was shown that for the absolute minimum of the potential, the triplet fields must be of the Q_{em} preserving form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta^c \rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \delta' & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \Delta \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \delta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \langle \overline{\Delta}^c \rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{\delta}' \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \overline{\Delta} \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{\delta} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The doublet and triplet VEVs can now be determined by substituting (11) and (12) into (10) and finding the minimum. The algebra is quite involved and the general solutions are messy so that it is useful to approximate M_1^2 and M'^2 as small perturbations as was done in [14]. The potential now takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} V &= m_l^2(l^2 + l'^2) + m_2^2(l^2 + l'^2) + |h|^2 l^2 \\ &+ |f|^2(l^4 + l'^4) \\ &+ [l^2(v\delta + fM^*\overline{\delta}^*) + l'^2(v\delta' + fM^*\overline{\delta}'^*) + c.c.]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The parity breaking solution is [14]

$$\begin{aligned} l &= \overline{\delta} = 0, \quad \delta' = -\frac{v}{4f^2}, \quad \overline{\delta}' = -\frac{fMl'^2}{M_2^2}, \\ l'^2 &= \frac{(v^2 - 4f^2m_l^2)M_2^2}{8f^4(M_2^2 - M^2)}, \quad V = -f^2 \left(1 - \frac{M^2}{M_2^2}\right) l'^4. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

If we assume that only $M' \approx 0$, we find a parity breaking solution (given that $l \neq 0$, $l' \neq 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= -\frac{l_1^2 v}{4f^2 l_1^2 + M_1^2}, \quad \delta' = -\frac{l_2^2 v}{4f^2 l_2^2 + M_1^2} \\ \overline{\delta} &= -\frac{l_1^2 M}{M_2^2}, \quad \overline{\delta}' = -\frac{l_2^2 M}{M_2^2} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and l^2, l'^2 can be solved from equations

$$\begin{aligned} m_l^2 - \frac{v^2}{2f^2} \left(1 - \frac{M_1^2}{4f^2 l^2}\right) + 2f^2 \left(1 - \frac{M^2}{M_2^2} + \frac{v^2}{8f^4} \left(1 - \frac{M_1^2}{2f^2 l^2}\right) l^2 + h^2 l' + \mathcal{O}(M_1^4)\right) &= 0 \\ m_l^2 - \frac{v^2}{2f^2} \left(1 - \frac{M_1^2}{4f^2 l'^2}\right) + 2f^2 \left(1 - \frac{M^2}{M_2^2} + \frac{v^2}{8f^4} \left(1 - \frac{M_1^2}{2f^2 l'^2}\right) l'^2 + h^2 l + \mathcal{O}(M_1^4)\right) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

We find that in this case l generally does not vanish. Numerical examinations, when further requiring that $M' \neq 0$, support this result.

Note that after parity breaking the acquired VEVs may change as temperature falls and electroweak symmetry is broken by the VEVs of the Φ and χ fields. The Φ and χ fields acquire Q_{em} conserving VEVs,

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa'_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa'_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (17)$$

where $\sqrt{\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2}$ is of the order of ~ 100 GeV after the EW phase transition and $\kappa'_i \ll \kappa_i$. κ_1 and κ_2 can be chosen real using $U(1)$ -invariance.

To study the behaviour of the sneutrino VEV at the phase transition, we set the fields to their VEVs after the EW breaking (we also approximate $\delta = 0$, $\bar{\delta} = 0$ and set $\kappa'_1 = \kappa'_2 = 0$ for simplicity). The potential (6) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} V = & |h_\chi l \kappa_2 + 2f l' \delta'|^2 + |h_\chi l' \kappa_2|^2 + |h_\phi l l' + 2\mu'_1 \kappa_1|^2 + |\mu_1 \kappa_2|^2 + |h_\chi l' l + \mu_1 \kappa_1|^2 \\ & + 4|\mu''_1 \kappa_2|^2 + |f|^2 |l|^4 + |f l'^2 + M \bar{\delta}'|^2 + m_l^2 (|l|^2 + |l'|^2) + M_1^2 |\delta'|^2 \\ & + (M_2 - |M|^2) |\bar{\delta}'|^2 + M'^2 (\delta' \bar{\delta}' + h.c.) + (M_\phi^2 - 4|\mu'_1|^2) |\kappa_1|^2 + (M_\chi^2 - 4|\mu''_1|^2) |\kappa_2|^2 \\ & + \left(\frac{\mu_2^2}{2} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 + h.c. \right) + (v l'^2 \delta' + e_2 l \kappa_2 l' + h.c.) + \frac{g_L^2}{8} \left| |l|^2 + |\kappa_1|^2 - |\kappa_2|^2 \right|^2 \\ & + \frac{g_R^2}{8} \left| |l'|^2 + 2(|\bar{\delta}'|^2 - |\delta'|^2 + |\kappa_1|^2 - |\kappa_2|^2) \right|^2 \\ & + \frac{g'^2}{8} \left| |l'|^2 - |l|^2 + 2(|\bar{\delta}'|^2 - |\delta'|^2) \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Furthermore, assuming that $f, M, v, e_2, \delta', \bar{\delta}'$ are real (for simplicity) and setting $h_\phi = 0$ the terms containing l' are

$$\begin{aligned} V_{l'} = & 4f^2 |l'|^2 \delta'^2 + 4f l \kappa_2 \delta' \text{Re}(h_\chi l') + \kappa^2 |h_\chi|^2 |l'|^2 + |h_\chi|^2 |l'|^2 |l|^2 + 2\kappa_1 l \mu_1 \text{Re}(h_\chi l') \\ & + f^2 |l'|^4 + 2f M \bar{\delta}' \text{Re}(l'^2) + m_l^2 |l'|^2 + 2v \delta' \text{Re}(l'^2) + 2e_2 l \kappa_2 \text{Re}(l') \\ & + \frac{g_R^2}{8} [|l'|^4 + 2|l'|^2 (2\bar{\delta}'^2 - 2\delta'^2 + \kappa_1^2 - \kappa_2^2)] \\ & + \frac{g'^2}{8} [|l'|^4 + 2|l'|^2 (2\bar{\delta}'^2 - 2\delta'^2) - 2|l'|^2 |l|^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Writing $l' = |l'|e^{i\alpha}$, $h_\chi = h e^{i\beta}$ and differentiating with respect to the phase of the complex VEV, α , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial V_{l'}}{\partial \alpha} = & [4h f l \kappa_2 \delta' |l'| + 2h \mu_1 l |l'| \kappa_1] \sin(\alpha + \beta) + 4(f M \bar{\delta}' + v \delta') |l'|^2 \sin(2\alpha) \\ & + 2e_2 l \kappa_2 |l'| \sin(\alpha) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

($|l'|$ can be assumed to be approximately constant to ensure parity breaking and the required heavy right-handed particle masses). We note that for $\alpha \neq 0$ we must require

that $l \neq 0$. If $\beta = 0$ we may easily solve $\frac{\partial V_{l'}}{\partial \alpha} = 0$ for α :

$$\alpha_1 = \arccos\left(-\frac{2hfl\kappa_2\delta' + h\mu_1l\kappa_1 + e_2l\kappa_2}{4(fM\bar{\delta}' + v\delta')|l'|}\right). \quad (21)$$

To examine when this is a minimum we substitute α_1 into (19) (writing only the α -dependent terms):

$$V_{l'}(\alpha = \alpha_1) = -\frac{(2hfl\kappa_2\delta' + h\mu_1l\kappa_1 + e_2l\kappa_2)^2}{4(fM\bar{\delta}' + v\delta')} - 2fM\bar{\delta}'l'^2 - 2v\delta'l'^2. \quad (22)$$

At $\alpha = 0$ (the old minimum) (19) is (again writing only the α dependent part):

$$\begin{aligned} V_{l'}(\alpha = 0) &= 4fl\kappa_2\delta'h'l' + 2\kappa_1l\mu_1hl' \\ &+ 2fM\bar{\delta}'l'^2 + 2v\delta'l'^2 + 2e_2l\kappa_2l'. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

To assure that α_1 is a minimum, we must thus require that

$$V_{l'}(\alpha_1) < V_{l'}(0) \quad (24)$$

from which it follows that

$$4hfl\kappa_2\delta'l' + 2h\mu_1l\kappa_1l' + 2e_2l\kappa_2l' + 4fM\bar{\delta}'l'^2 + 4v\delta'l'^2 + \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{(2hfl\kappa_2\delta' + h\mu_1l\kappa_1 + e_2l\kappa_2)^2}{4(fM\bar{\delta}' + v\delta')} < 0. \quad (26)$$

If $\beta \neq 0$ i.e. h_χ is complex, this question cannot be answered analytically and numerical methods must be utilized.

Provided that condition (25) is satisfied, it is then clear that as Φ and χ acquire their VEVs at the electroweak transition the sneutrino VEV, l' , while remaining approximately constant in magnitude rotates in the complex plane by an angle α_1 .

Expanding around the new minimum, we may write

$$l'_{EW} \equiv l'e^{i\alpha} = l' + Ae^{i\theta}, \quad (27)$$

from which it follows that

$$l'\sqrt{2(1 - \cos \alpha)}e^{i\arctan(\frac{\sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha - 1})} = Ae^{i\theta}. \quad (28)$$

In the EW phase transition, A changes from 0 to $l'\sqrt{2(1 - \cos \alpha)}$ while the change in θ is $\Delta\theta = \text{arccot}(\frac{\sin \alpha}{1 - \cos \alpha})$. We estimate the changes in A and θ to be sinusoidal and choose that only one of the sneutrino VEVs is significantly large. In [15] it was

shown that for $T \approx \mu \sim 100$ GeV $\mathcal{I}_{\tilde{H}}^{ej}$ is approximately -2 regardless of the considered generation so that (5) can be written as

$$I_1 \approx 48h^2l'^2(1 - \cos \alpha) \operatorname{arccot}\left(\frac{\sin \alpha}{1 - \cos \alpha}\right) \int_0^\infty du g^2(u) g'(u) e^{-\lambda_+ u}, \quad (29)$$

where

$$g(u) = \frac{1}{2} [1 - \cos(\frac{u\pi}{L})] [\theta(u) - \theta(u - L)] + \theta(u - L) \quad (30)$$

and $h \sim h_{3i}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

For $T = 100$ GeV, $L = 25/T$ the integral has a value of ~ 0.26 . To estimate the value of I_2 let us assume further that $l' \sim 1$ TeV and $h \sim 0.1$ so that

$$I_1 \approx (1 - \cos \alpha) \operatorname{arccot}\left(\frac{\sin \alpha}{1 - \cos \alpha}\right) 1.2 \times 10^5. \quad (31)$$

The effect of adding a mass insertion can easily be calculated. Taking $|l'|$ to be constant, (5) reduces to

$$-2h_{ij}h_{kj} \int_0^\infty du \cos(\theta_i - \theta_k) A_i A_k (\theta_i + \theta_k)' e^{-\lambda_+ u} \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{H}}^{ej}. \quad (32)$$

If only one of the sneutrino fields, $A_3 e^{i\theta_3}$, has a significant VEV ~ 1 TeV, (32) becomes

$$I_2 \approx 24h^2l'^2 \int_0^\infty du \theta_3' e^{-\lambda_+ u}, \quad (33)$$

where l' is the magnitude of the sneutrino VEV and $\mathcal{I}_{\tilde{H}}^{ej} \approx -2$ as before.

The phase of the sneutrino field VEV is again assumed to change sinusoidally during the EW phase transition i.e.

$$\theta_3(u) = \alpha g(u) \quad (34)$$

so that the integral in (33) can be evaluated and is found to be

$$\frac{\pi^2}{2(\lambda_+^2 L^2 + \pi^2)} (1 + e^{-\lambda_+ L}) \alpha \quad (35)$$

For $L = 25/T$, $T = 100$ GeV and $\lambda_+ \approx 1.5$ this has a value of about 0.83α . Taking $h \sim 0.1$ and $l' \sim 1$ TeV, we arrive at an estimate for CP-violation during the electroweak phase transition with one mass insertion,

$$I_2 \approx 2 \times 10^5 \alpha. \quad (36)$$

Clearly, since

$$(1 - \cos \alpha) \operatorname{arccot}\left(\frac{\sin \alpha}{1 - \cos \alpha}\right) \leq \alpha$$

for $\alpha \in [0, \pi]$, $I_1 < I_2$ indicating that further mass insertions may possibly increase the amount of CP-violation even further.

In comparison with I_2 the estimate for the CP-violation in the MSSM [6, 15] is ~ 300 (without the suppression factor [7]) that leads to a baryon to entropy ratio of $\frac{n_B}{s} \sim 10^{-11}$. Because of (4) the baryon to entropy ratio is then

$$\frac{n_B}{s} \sim \alpha 0.7 \times 10^{-8} \quad (37)$$

so that even a change of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ in the phase of the sneutrino VEV may lead to significant baryon production at the EW phase transition. This result is obtained after quite a number of assumptions and simplifications. However, these are not carefully chosen to obtain a desired result but are used to simplify the calculation process.

In this paper we have considered the possibility of electroweak baryogenesis in the supersymmetric left-right model. As in [15] the crucial property that allows for sufficient baryon creation is the large sneutrino VEV. In SUSYLR this is set quite naturally by the left-right parity breaking scale. As electroweak breaking takes place the sneutrino VEV must necessarily change for baryogenesis to be possible. We have shown that for a zero temperature approximation there exists a part of the parameter space where the sneutrino VEV rotates in the complex plane while conserving its large amplitude. This process creates a CP-violating source that leads to a production of baryons at the electroweak phase transition through a known mechanism [6]. The amount of baryons produced in this manner is obviously dependent on many unknown parameters and may change significantly due to finite temperature corrections but even a change of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ in the phase of the neutrino VEV may lead to a significant production of baryons.

Acknowledgement.

The authors thank R. Mohapatra for discussions.

References

- [1] A. D. Sakharov, JETP Lett. **5** (1967) 24.
- [2] For recent reviews, see A.G. Cohen, D.B. Kaplan and A.E. Nelson, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Phys.* **43** (1993) 27; M. Quiros, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **67** (1994) 451; M.E. Shaposhnikov, preprint CERN-TH/96-13.
- [3] M. Shaposhnikov, JETP Lett. **44** (1986) 465; *Nucl. Phys.* **B287** (1987) 757; *Nucl. Phys.* **B299** (1988) 797.
- [4] M. B. Gavela, P. Hernández, J. Orloff, O. Pène, C. Quimbay, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A9** (94) 795; *Nucl. Phys.* **B430** (94) 382; P. Huet, E. Sather, *Phys. Rev.* **D51** (95) 379.
- [5] M. Carena, M. Quiros, C.E.M. Wagner, *Phys. Lett.* **B380** (1996) 81.
- [6] M. Carena, M. Quiros, A. Riotto, I. Vilja, C.E.M. Wagner, *Nucl. Phys.* **B503** (1997) 387.
- [7] T. Multamäki and I. Vilja, *Phys. Lett.* **B411** (1997) 301.
- [8] A. Riotto, hep-ph/9803357.
- [9] R. N. Mohapatra, *Phys. Rev.* **D34** (1986) 3457; A. Font, L. E. Ibanez, F. Quevedo, *Phys. Lett.* **B228** (1989) 79; S. P. Martin, *Phys. Rev.* **D46** (1992) 2769.
- [10] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, R. Slansky, in *Supergravity*, eds. P. van Nieuwenhuizen and D. Z. Freedman (North Holland 1979); T. Yanagida, in *Proceedings of Workshop on Unified Theory and Baryon Number in the Universe*, eds. O. Sawada and A. Sugamoto (KEK 1979).
- [11] A. Riotto, *Nucl. Phys.* **B518** (1998) 339.
- [12] H. Haber, G. Kane, *Phys. Rev.* **117** (1985) 75.
- [13] M. Cvetič and J. Pati, *Phys. Lett.* **B135** (57) 1984; R. Mohapatra, *Prog. in Part. and Fields* **26** (1991) 1; R. Francis, M. Frank and C. Kalman, *Phys. Rev. D* **43** (1991) 2369; Y. Ahn, *Phys. Lett.* **B149** (1984) 337.
- [14] R. Kuchimanchi and R. Mohapatra, *Phys. Rev. D* **48** (1993) 4352.
- [15] T. Multamäki and I. Vilja, *Phys. Lett.* **B433** (1998) 67.
- [16] K. Huitu, J. Maalampi, *Phys. Lett.* **B344** (1995) 217.